



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance –

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.45, No.43

November 6th, 2009

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK:

"It is not possible to engage in rational discussion with irrational beliefs. It is also not possible to engage in rational discussion with those whose interest in the global warming bandwagon is as a way to make money or build a career."

– Prof. Jonathan Katz, Washington University, 2009

"...The prosperity of the West is not only our sustenance. It is also the very lifeblood of the struggling nations of the Third World. If our economies fail, we are inconvenienced, but they die. In the past year there have been food riots in a dozen major regions, in protest at the doubling of the price of staple food, which the World Bank blames almost entirely on the biofuel scam.

Has your favourite news medium reported the riots and the mass starvation? Probably not. Has it given our starving fellow-men – our brothers and sisters – the same attention and prominence and column inches and frequency of coverage as it has given to every icicle putatively dribbling in Greenland? Certainly not.

Those who are dying are only black people, poor people, in far-away countries of which we know little, with no voice and no vote. Why should we care? Well, we should care. And we – you and I – we do care. In this debate it is we who hold the moral high ground.

There is no incompatibility between science and religion, as long as religion does not attempt to usurp the realm of science, and as long as science does not become a religion. So I hope that this scientific conference will forgive a Christian if, in a Christian country founded by Christians, he does his duty as the valedictorian by sending you away from this great gathering with a blessing – a blessing that has been spoken in the stone-built village churches of England for longer than anyone can remember. Let it be a tribute to your steadfast courage.

Go forth into the world in peace; Be of good courage; Hold fast to that which is good;
Render to no man evil for evil; Strengthen the faint-hearted;
Support the weak; Help the afflicted; Honour all men;
Love and serve the Lord, Rejoicing in the power of the Holy Ghost;
And the blessing of God Almighty, The Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost,
Be upon you and remain with you always. Amen.

– Lord Monckton, in a Valedictorian address to the Heartland International
Conference on Climate Change, 10 March 2009

In this issue: • *Thought For The Week* • *Real Agenda Behind Copenhagen Conference* • *Lord Monckton Emails Australia's Senator Penny Wong* • *'Shelob': Corrupt, Bloated, Supranational Oligarchy* • *Rudd's Asian New World Order* • *Mining Magnate Defends China From "Racists"*

REAL AGENDA BEHIND COPENHAGEN CONFERENCE by Donald Auchterlonie: Lord Christopher Monckton in an address in St Paul MN, USA on 14/10/2009 described the Copenhagen Treaty as a World Government established to transfer wealth from the developed world to the underdeveloped world as what they call "climate debt". There are no elections for representatives in this Treaty. It will set up an enormous bureaucracy to inspect everything one could imagine in all participating countries.

Some of the provisions of this 181 page treaty (United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change FCCC/AWGLCA/2009/INF.2 15.9.09) are:-

Section 38: (a) The government will be ruled by the Conference of Parties (COP) with the support of a new subsidiary body on adaption, and an Executive Board responsible for the management of the new funds...

(c) (e) An international registry for the monitoring, reporting and verification of compliance of emissions reduction commitments, and the transfer of technical and financial resources from developed countries to developing countries. The secretariat will provide technical and administrative support, including a new centre for information exchanges.

(b) The convention's financial mechanism will include a multilateral climate change fund...

Section 46: (c) Creating legal and regulatory conditions that facilitate adaption, including disaster resilience (e.g., building codes, land-use planning, risk-sharing tools, and strengthening policy coherence among sectors)

(k) Clarifying and securing land tenure and planning i.e. allocation, ownership and control over lands and resources.

(l) Strengthening environmental and natural resources management and enforcement.

Section 48 (b) an insurance component to address climate related weather events... to address financial risk...and leverage public and private funding to enhance adaptive capacity.

(d) Innovative financial instruments, e.g., venture capital funds and climate insurance fund, integrated into the financial mechanism for addressing the risks associated with climate change. Developed countries shall have deeper cuts in CO₂ emissions that under developed countries can emit more CO₂ to speed up their development (Page 58).

Section 77: The full costs of these actions will be met by the developed nations.

So there we have the result of all the constant repetition of climate alarmists' so called "science". The financiers will have a field day; the Australian people will have their standard of living drastically reduced for no good reason. Contact your State Senators and ask them to read this treaty and vote against the ratification of it by the Australian government.

A must read: "Heaven+Earth Global Warming: The Missing Science" by Ian Plimer. Price \$40.00 plus postage from both Heritage Book Services.

LORD MONCKTON EMAILS AUSTRALIA'S SENATOR PENNY WONG:

Dear Senator Wong – Greetings from Scotland!

One of your constituents, Mr. John Cribbes, has asked me to drop you a short email about emissions trading and "global warming".

I have recently conducted some detailed research into the mathematics behind the conclusions of the U.N. climate panel on the single question that matters in the climate debate – by how much will the world warm in response to adding CO₂ to the atmosphere?

My research, published in *Physics and Society*, a technical newsletter of the "American Physical Society" this month, demonstrates that the IPCC's values for the three key parameters whose product is climate sensitivity are based on only four papers – not the 2,500 that are often mentioned.

Those four papers are unrepresentative of the literature, in which a low and harmless climate sensitivity is now the consensus. Therefore I should recommend extreme caution before any emissions-trading scheme is put in place.

Such schemes will damage Australia's competitiveness, perhaps fatally; they are prone to corruption in that they incentivize over-claiming by both parties to each trade and by the regulator; they are addressing a non-problem; and, even if the problem were real (as a few largely-politicized scientists persist in maintaining), adaptation as and if necessary would be orders of magnitude cheaper than emissions trading or any other attempt at mitigating the quantities of carbon dioxide that we are (harmlessly) adding to the atmosphere.

Therefore I strongly urge you to reconsider your support for this or any emissions-trading scheme. I have read the Australian Government's paper on the proposed scheme, and the science in it is, alas, largely nonsense. Politically, of course, the fatal damage that emissions trading will do to the Australian economy will greatly favour the enemies of the free West, which is why I, as an ally, have *locus standi* to approach you.

Climatically, your emissions-trading scheme will not make any significant difference. There are many other environmental problems that are real: I recommend that the Australian Government should tackle those.

As for the climate, it is a non-problem, and the correct policy approach to a non-problem is to have the courage to do nothing.

Similar warnings are being sent to other legislators worldwide by those of us - now probably in the majority among the scientific community, not that one should do science by head-count - who have studied climate sensitivity and have found the U.N's. analysis lamentably wanting. The U.N's. predictions are already being falsified by events: global temperatures have been falling for seven years, and not one of the climate models relied upon so heavily and so unwisely by the IPCC predicted that turn of events.

If you introduce an emissions-trading scheme, when it transpires that the scheme and its associated economic damage had never been necessary - and it will, and sooner than you think - you and your party will be flung from office, perhaps forever. It is, therefore, in the long-term vested interest of your party to think again. - Monckton of Brencley

The Viscount Monckton of Brencley, Carie, Rannoch, PH17 2QJ

'SHELOB': CORRUPT, BLOATED, SUPRANATIONAL OLIGARCHY: Lord Monckton advises: "The Copenhagen treaty draft addresses the non-problem of "global warming" with a costly non-solution: communistic world government. The word "government" appears at para. 38 of the draft as the first of three purposes of a new, expensive, corrupt, many-tentacled, supranational, bureaucratic oligarchy. This dismal tyranny of bloated, pampered functionaries is to be given powers to redistribute up to 2% of wealthier nations' annual output to poorer countries in alleged "reparation" for supposed "climate debt".

The world dictatorship will also be given powers to intervene directly - over the heads of elected governments - in the financial, economic and environmental affairs of individual nations. The words "election", "vote", "ballot" and "democracy" do not occur anywhere in the 200 pages of the draft treaty.

This monstrous panjandrum is thus not only communistic, in that it is not elected and yet will have absolute power over all markets worldwide, but also entirely purposeless.

A stream of very recent scientific papers in the peer-reviewed learned journals demonstrates that the

warming effect of additional CO₂ in the air is less than one-sixth of what the U.N's. X-Box 360s and Playstations think it is.

Direct measurement has now established that all of the U.N's. computer models are simply wrong: they had all been incorrectly instructed to assume that CO₂ and other greenhouse gases had six or seven times more warming effect than they do. Therefore, CO₂ is harmless.

The science is in, the models are off, the truth is out, and the scare is over. There is no need for any Copenhagen Treaty. It must not and shall not pass. Government of the people, by the people and for the people shall not perish from the Earth. – Monckton of Brenchley.

Action stations: Now is the time for us to do and to be! Please join with us in a concerted campaign to write letters to rural and city newspapers, ring radio station talk-back programs, write, ring, fax and email your state and federal politicians on this important and timely issue. NO! to an Emissions Trading Scam and NO! to a Copenhagen Treaty! And YES! To our national sovereignty and freedoms! The federal minister for the environment is: Senator Penny Wong at Parliament House Canberra, A.C.T. Email: >senator.wong@aph.gov.au<

RUDD'S ASIAN NEW WORLD ORDER by James Reed: Big Kev 09 Rudd has been dancing on the U.N. stage with the spotlights on him, urging the creation of a "new world order". Whenever you hear that phrase, be afraid, be very afraid. Rudd's new world order will be based around China. A nation he loves, as well as India, Brazil and the Muslim nations.

According to Rudd the U.N. is bloated: "The new and pressing functional demands of a rapidly unfolding global order are now rubbing up against the increasingly dysfunctional nature of global institutions that are either out of their depth, insufficiently empowered or reduced to a negotiating stalemate by the politics of the lowest common denominator". So the solution, according to Rudd, is to create yet another one of these dysfunctional institutions.

Well, no. What Rudd seems to want is for China to rule the world. After all, China is about to unveil "impressive" measures to curb greenhouse gases. For Rudd, all that is good comes from China. If only he would go there to live – permanently. Then we could have a real dinki-di PM like Penny Wong to save us!

MINING MAGNATE DEFENDS CHINA FROM "RACISTS" by Brian Simpson: According to Australia's fifth richest man, Clive Palmer, the Rudd government's foreign investment rules are "racist" discriminating against Chinese companies seeking to buy Australian resource projects. Chinese investors would not tolerate "the idea of being discriminated against because of the colour of their skin" (*The Australian*, 30/9/09, p.1).

This is of course absurd but let's follow the argument where it leads. China is a far from racist country. The very character for China denotes that it is the centre of the world. The Han Chinese for thousands of years have had no problem discriminating against other Asians and do so today. So even if these foreign investment rules were "racist", which they are not, they are not doing, contrary to Palmer, anything the Chinese themselves would be unfamiliar with.

Recall also that China is a communist society that, under Mao, murdered millions of its own people. Beijing has celebrated the 60th anniversary of communist power, when it should be lamenting 60 years of tyranny and oppression. In the scheme of things, discriminating against China, openly, makes a lot of survival sense.